

Edge states of zigzag bilayer graphite nanoribbons

Jun-Won Rhim and Kyungsun Moon

Department of Physics and IPAP, Yonsei University, Seoul, 120-749, Korea

Abstract

Electronic structures of the zigzag bilayer graphite nanoribbons(Z-BGNR) with various ribbon width N are studied within the tight binding approximation. Neglecting the inter-layer hopping amplitude γ_4 , which is an order of magnitude smaller than the other inter-layer hopping parameters γ_1 and γ_3 , there exist two fixed Fermi points $\pm k^*$ independent of the ribbon width with the peculiar energy dispersion near k^* as $\varepsilon(k) \sim \pm(k - k^*)^N$. By investigating the edge states of the Z-BGNR, we notice that the trigonal warping of the bilayer graphene sheets are reflected on in the edge state structure. With the inclusion of γ_4 , the above two Fermi points are not fixed, but drift toward the vicinity of the Dirac point with the increase of the width N as shown by the finite scaling method and the peculiar dispersions change to the parabolic ones. The edge magnetism of the Z-BGNR is also examined by solving the half-filled Hubbard Hamiltonian for the ribbon using the Hartree-Fock approximation. We have shown that within the same side of the edges, the edge spins are aligned ferromagnetically for the experimentally relevant set of parameters.

PACS numbers: 73.21.Ac, 73.90.tf, 73.21.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphite nanoribbons(GNR) are the quasi one-dimensional carbon sheets, whose width and the chirality can be well controlled by the current nano-lithography technique.[1] Due to the high mobility of the sample and the relativistic energy dispersion relation of the massless Dirac fermion, there has been a lot of interest both theoretically and experimentally to investigate the electronic properties of the GNR.[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] The electronic properties of the GNR are closely controlled by the chirality as is well known for the case of carbon nanotube. Among the GNRs with different chiralities, the armchair and zigzag GNR have been studied most intensively for the decades.[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] Unlikely from the carbon nanotube with the compact angle variable for the transverse modes, the GNR can support the edge states. Recently, the edge state of zigzag GNR has been of great interest due to its peculiar dispersion relation with the almost flat edge bands near the zero energy.[3, 14, 15] When the Coulomb interactions are taken into account, the existence of the flat edge bands may lead to the edge magnetism for various kinds of ribbon edges.[3, 17, 18, 19] They have shown that the edge magnetism of zigzag GNR is ferromagnetic along each edge while anti-ferromagnetic between the two different edges due to the bipartite nature of the lattice structure. This kind of edge magnetism has been widely studied within first-principle calculations.[20, 21, 22, 23] Furthermore, its potential as a half-metallic material under lateral electric field has been demonstrated within a first principle approach by Son *et. al.*[18] Hence to identify the edge states of the various GNRs is crucial to understand both the magnetic and electric properties of the system.

In the paper, we study the electronic and magnetic structure of the zigzag bilayer graphite nanoribbons with various ribbon width N . First, we give a brief review of the bilayer graphene system. The bilayer graphene system is two coupled graphene sheets and its tight binding electronic structures are determined by four leading characteristic hopping parameters as shown in Fig. 1(a). It has demonstrated quite unique electronic properties compared to the single graphene such as the larger Hall step and different kinds of chiral quasiparticles and the distinct electronic structures.[24] The most distinct electronic feature of bilayer graphene system is the very existence of the trigonal warping of the Fermi level in the vicinity of the Dirac points as demonstrated in the inset of Fig. 2(a). It means that the band of the graphite bilayer near the Dirac point does not form a cone but a trigonally

curved cone and there exist three additional pockets along the directions of zigzag chirality. It has been shown that these peculiar electronic structures, which are controlled by the inter-layer hopping parameters γ_1 and γ_3 , produce extra degeneracies in the Landau levels. The three extra dirac cones are known to play an important role in determining the minimal conductivity of the graphite bilayer.[25]

In the work, we focus on the edge state structure of the Z-BGNRs with various ribbon width N . Since the three legs in the band structure of the graphite bilayer lie along the direction of zigzag chirality, we have chosen the Z-BGNR in order to see the possible signature of the trigonal warping imprinted on the edge state structure of the system. The schematic structure of the Z-BGNR is shown in Fig. 1(a). We have chosen the Bernal stacked graphite nanoribbons, where the widths of the two layers are the same and the width is defined to be the number of dimer lines N as shown in Fig. 1(b). We use the tight binding method to calculate the band structures of the Z-BGNRs with varying widths. According to the experimental data, the inter-layer hopping amplitude γ_4 is much smaller than γ_3 by an order of magnitude.[26] Neglecting γ_4 , we notice that the Z-BGNR has two fixed Fermi points at $k = \pm k^*$ with $k^* = 2 \cos^{-1}(\sqrt{\gamma_1 \gamma_3}/2)$ and the following energy dispersion relation $\varepsilon \sim \pm(k - k^*)^N$. As N goes to infinity, the dispersionless edge band appears. By analyzing the semi-infinite Z-BGNR case analytically, we have demonstrated that the trigonal warping manifests itself in the edge band structure of the Z-BGNR. Interestingly, the existence of the edge states seems to be consistent with the condition imposed by the Berry phase argument.[27] With the inclusion of γ_4 , the particle-hole symmetry is broken and the energy gap appears in the dispersion. In this case, the conduction band minimum and the valence band maximum occurs at two different points k^e and k^h respectively. They are no more fixed points independent of N rather drift toward Dirac point with increasing N . By examining a semi-infinite Z-BGNR with γ_4 taken perturbatively and using the scaling method as well, we have analyzed the edge states in further detail. We notice that one edge band remains to be flat while the other edge band becomes dispersive. These two edge bands appear below $\varepsilon = 0$ and split proportional to the hopping parameter γ_4 . Finally, the magnetic properties of the Z-BGNR are studied by investigating the Hubbard Hamiltonian obtained from the inclusion of the on-site Coulomb repulsion U based on the Hartree-Fock approximation. We have calculated the magnetic moments at the edge as a function of U for half-filled system at zero temperature. Our main concern is that the possible half metallicity would persist in

the Z-BGNNR upon lateral gate bias. Our result has shown that for the realistic value of U ($U/\gamma_0 \cong 1$), the ferromagnetic alignment of the edge spins within the same side of the edges is favorable. This implies that the spintronic application of the Z-BGNNR is quite promising.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, a detailed descriptions about the tight binding model for the Z-BGNNRs are given. In Sec.III, we investigate the electronic structures of Z-BGNNRs with or without the small inter-layer hopping parameter γ_4 . We focus on the edge state structure based on the formulae of Sec.II. Without γ_4 , the coupled equation to obtain the energy dispersion relation can be simplified and one can extract the important features of the edge states in the system. We analyze the semi-infinite Z-BGNNRs to obtain the analytic formulae of the edge states amplitudes. In Sec.IV, the interlayer edge magnetism of the Z-BGNNR is studied by including the Hubbard type onsite-interactions. Finally, summaries will be given in Sec.V.

II. TIGHT BINDING HAMILTONIAN

We introduce the tight binding model for the Z-BGNNR by considering the four hopping parameters $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_3$ and γ_4 , where γ_0 is the intra-layer hopping and the others the inter-layer hopping amplitudes as shown in Fig. 1(a). This type of approach has been successfully employed to study the electronic structure due to the p_z -orbital of various carbon based materials including the GNR.[3, 15, 16] We begin with the following Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j \quad (1)$$

with

$$t_{ij} = \langle \varphi(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_i) | H | \varphi(\vec{r} - \vec{R}_j) \rangle \quad (2)$$

where the summations over i and j include all the hopping processes related to the four hopping parameters. For the sake of simplicity, we redefine the index i in terms of the following three indices such as $c_i = c_\alpha(m, \lambda)$, where α represents the unit cell of Z-BGNNR, m the index of dimer lines which runs from 1 to N , and λ one of the four sublattices $A, B, \tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ as shown in Fig. 1(b). By transforming this to the collective modes

$$c_{m,\lambda}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\alpha} e^{-iky_{\alpha}} c_{\alpha}(m, \lambda) \quad (3)$$

where y_α denotes the position of α -th unit cell. The general states can be written by

$$|\psi(k)\rangle = \sum_{m,\lambda} \psi_{m\lambda} c_{m,\lambda}^\dagger(k) |0\rangle. \quad (4)$$

By substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (1), one can obtain the following four recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \psi_{mA} &= \gamma_0 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{mB} + \psi_{m-1B} \right) + \gamma_3 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m-1\tilde{B}} + \psi_{m\tilde{B}} \right) + \gamma_4 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m\tilde{A}} + \psi_{m-1\tilde{A}} \right) \\ \varepsilon \psi_{mB} &= \gamma_0 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{mA} + \psi_{m+1A} \right) + \gamma_1 \psi_{m\tilde{A}} + \gamma_4 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m\tilde{B}} + \psi_{m-1\tilde{B}} \right) \\ \varepsilon \psi_{m\tilde{A}} &= \gamma_0 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m\tilde{B}} + \psi_{m-1\tilde{B}} \right) + \gamma_1 \psi_{mB} + \gamma_4 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{mA} + \psi_{m+1A} \right) \\ \varepsilon \psi_{m\tilde{B}} &= \gamma_0 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m\tilde{A}} + \psi_{m+1\tilde{A}} \right) + \gamma_3 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{m+1A} + \psi_{mA} \right) + \gamma_4 \left(2 \cos \frac{k}{2} \psi_{mB} + \psi_{m+1B} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here we use the dimensionless wave vector k in which the primitive lattice vector of the ribbon is embedded so that the range of k runs from $-\pi$ to π . Since the Z-BGNR is terminated along the x -axis, the following boundary conditions are imposed: $\psi_{0\lambda} = \psi_{N+1\lambda} = 0$. From the recurrence relations, we notice that, when γ_4 is taken to be zero, particle-hole symmetry exists in the band structures. In other words, for $\gamma_4 = 0$, one set of eigenvalue and eigenvector solution of $\{\varepsilon, \psi_{mA}, \psi_{mB}, \psi_{m\tilde{A}}, \psi_{m\tilde{B}}\}$ guarantees the existence of the other orthogonal solution $\{-\varepsilon, -\psi_{mA}, \psi_{mB}, -\psi_{m\tilde{A}}, \psi_{m\tilde{B}}\}$. Hence the band structure is symmetric with respect to $\varepsilon = 0$. On the other hand, when γ_4 is included, the particle-hole symmetry is broken.

III. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF THE Z-BGNR

We study the electronic structures of the Z-BGNRs with finite ribbon width N with special focus on the edge states. We first investigate the semi-infinite Z-BGNR and analytically show the signatures of the trigonal warping of the Fermi surface in the edge states. Hence our main interest lies in the bands near $\varepsilon = 0$, which contain the states localized at the ribbon edge. For $\varepsilon = 0$, the four coupled equations in Eq. (5) are decomposed into the two coupled ones. One of the two coupled equations only involves ψ_B and $\psi_{\tilde{B}}$. By solving these equations, we notice that they lead to unphysical solutions unless $\psi_{mB} = \psi_{m\tilde{B}} = 0$. The

other two equations, which contain ψ_A and $\psi_{\bar{A}}$, are written by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{m+2A} + \beta(2 - \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)\psi_{m+1A} + (\beta^2 - \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)\psi_{mA} &= 0 \\ \bar{\gamma}_1\psi_{m\bar{A}} &= -(\beta\psi_{mA} + \psi_{m+1A})\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_1 \equiv \gamma_1/\gamma_0$, $\bar{\gamma}_3 \equiv \gamma_3/\gamma_0$, and $\beta \equiv 2\cos(k/2)$. The first equation can be solved by substituting an ansatz of $\psi_{mA} \propto \mu^m$ into Eq. (6). As expected from the double degeneracy of band structures of bilayer graphite at the particle-hole symmetry ($\varepsilon = 0$), one can obtain two independent solutions $\mu_{\pm}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\pm} &= -\beta\left(1 - \frac{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \pm \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3(1 - \beta^2) + \beta^2\left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}{2}\right)^2},\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where the localization lengths are given by $\xi_{\pm} = |\ln|\mu_{\pm}||^{-1}$.

We denote the two solutions as $\psi_{mA}^{\pm}$ for $\mu_{\pm}$ respectively. In order to have a physical normalizable solution, one needs to impose the following condition for μ : $|\mu| < 1$. This condition restricts the range of the k values supporting the zero energy edge states as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\text{For } \psi_{mA}^+ &, \quad 2\cos^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{1+\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}}{2} \leq k \leq \pi \\ \text{For } \psi_{mA}^- &, \quad \begin{cases} 2\cos^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{1+\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ 2\cos^{-1}\frac{1-\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}{2} \leq k \leq \pi. \end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

At $k = \pi$, the edge state has a form $\psi_{mA} = (\pm\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3})^m$ and $\psi_{m\bar{A}} = -(\pm\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3})^{m+1}/\bar{\gamma}_1$. Hence the localization length of the semi-infinite Z-BGNR is finite at the zone boundary, while the semi-infinite monolayer GNR is totally localized at one edge for $k = \pi$. It is interesting to notice that the Z-BGNRs also support a completely localized mode at $k^* = 2\cos^{-1}\sqrt{\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}/2$ at which $\mu = 0$.

In Fig. 2(b), the schematic diagram of the edge states are plotted with respect to k , where the two edge modes are represented by two different colors. The yellow(pale gray) edge mode ψ_{mA}^+ exists from the point T_1 at $k = 2\cos^{-1}\sqrt{1+\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}/2$ to the zone boundary as observed in the monolayer GNR as well. Remarkably, we notice that the red(dark gray) edge mode ψ_{mA}^- has a forbidden region between the Dirac point D at $k = 2\pi/3$ and the point T_2 at $k = 2\cos^{-1}(1-\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)/2$. The existence of the forbidden region is the unique feature of the Z-BGNR. We draw two symmetric warped bands within this region, since the bands should

be continuous and particle-hole symmetric. By comparing to the graphene bilayer system, the point D corresponds to the Dirac point while the points T_1 and T_2 correspond to the three legs' Fermi points L_1, L_2 and L_3 of the graphene bilayer which are indicated in the inset of Fig. 2(a).[24] This correspondence can be explicitly shown by comparing these points. The previous study on the graphene bilayer has shown that the three legs are displaced by $2\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3/\sqrt{3}$ from the Dirac point D and are placed at the points with triangular symmetry. If one projects these leg points onto the axis of zigzag chirality, the two leg points are located at $k = 2\pi/3 + 2\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3/\sqrt{3}$ and $k = 2\pi/3 - \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3/\sqrt{3}$. These values previously obtained by the $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ approximation perfectly agree with the two points T_1 and T_2 in Eq. (8) for small $\bar{\gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_3$. We have confirmed the above feature of the zero energy edge states and the warped bands by numerically solving Eq. (5) for relatively wide Z-BGNR with widths $N = 100$ and 200 as shown in the inset of Fig. 2. In order to see the above feature more clearly, we have taken about five times larger values for $\bar{\gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_3$ than the experimental ones. Our numerical results agree well with that of the semi-infinite Z-BGNR.

Recently, Ryu *et. al.* suggested a criterion for the existence of the edge states based on the bulk energy dispersion, which may be applicable to a certain class of edge states[27]. They have studied the following particle-hole symmetric Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} \mathbf{c}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger \mathbf{h}_{\vec{k}} \mathbf{c}_{\vec{k}} = \sum_{\vec{k}} \mathbf{c}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger (\vec{R}(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \mathbf{c}_{\vec{k}} \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{c}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger = (c_{\vec{k}\uparrow}^\dagger, c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^\dagger)$ and $\vec{\sigma}$ is a three component vector of the Pauli matrices. This type of Hamiltonian includes the Bogoliubov-de Gennes and the GNR Hamiltonian as well. Their criterion for this Hamiltonian to have zero-energy edge states is that the closed trajectory of $\vec{R}(\vec{k})$ being confined in a 2D plane encloses the gap-closing point. We want to understand our result of Z-BGNR based on the above criterion. By analyzing the 2D graphite bilayer system using exact diagonalization method, one can obtain four energy bands, which we take to be $|\vec{R}(\vec{k})|$. [27] We have analyzed the behavior of one of $|\vec{R}(\vec{k})|$'s and noticed that it goes to zero three times at $k_y = 2 \cos^{-1} \sqrt{1 + \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3}/2$, $2\pi/3$, and $2 \cos^{-1}(1 - \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)/2$ respectively. We presume that these three points indicate the boundaries of the three distinct topological sectors of $|\vec{R}(\vec{k})|$ where the closed loops within $2\pi/3 < k_y < 2 \cos^{-1}(1 - \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)/2$ exclude the gap-closing point. Hence we argue that it corresponds to the edge mode of ψ_{mA}^- . Strictly speaking, our bilayer graphene system is out of their scope, since the bilayer Hamiltonian is described by the 4×4 traceless hermitian matrix. Furthermore, since only the magnitude

of $\vec{R}(\vec{k})$ are available, we are not able to directly confirm our conjecture yet.

We now calculate the full electronic structures of the Z-BGNRs with finite ribbon width N by numerically solving Eq. (5). We obtain the band structures of two finite width Z-BGNRs with $N = 4, 5$ as shown in Fig. 3. In order to study the edge states and the low-lying energy excitations analytically, we diagonalize the $4N \times 4N$ matrix of Eq. (5) with $\varepsilon = 0$. By taking the determinant of the matrix to be zero, that is, $[(2 \cos(k/2))^2 - \bar{\gamma}_1 \bar{\gamma}_3]^{2N} = 0$, one can see that the band touches $\varepsilon = 0$ at $\pm k^*$ independent of N . In order to calculate the dispersion relations around $(k, \varepsilon) = (k^*, 0)$, we expand the determinant with respect to $(k^*, 0)$ and obtain the following results

$$\varepsilon \approx \begin{cases} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\gamma}_1 \bar{\gamma}_3}{\bar{\gamma}_1^2 + \bar{\gamma}_3^2}} (2(k - k^*))^N & (N = \text{odd}) \\ \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (2(k - k^*))^N & (N = \text{even}) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where ε is written in units of γ_0 . These peculiar dispersions are demonstrated in our numerical result as shown in the insets of Fig. 3. When the ribbon width N goes to infinity, the energy dispersion becomes flattened leading to the localized edge states. The similar behavior has been observed for the monolayer GNR with k^* being replaced with $k = \pi$. Unlikely from the monolayer GNR, a small gap opens at the zone boundary. The magnitude of the gap can be calculated approximately by considering the weak coupling between those edge modes localized at the different edges. We define the following four states which are constructed from the two semi-infinite Z-BGNRs localized at the opposite edges for $k = \pi$

$$\begin{aligned} |L\rangle &= \psi_{1A}(|1A\rangle - \bar{\gamma}_3|2\tilde{A}\rangle + \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3|3A\rangle + \cdots) \\ |\tilde{L}\rangle &= \psi_{1\tilde{A}}(|1\tilde{A}\rangle - \bar{\gamma}_1|2A\rangle + \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3|3\tilde{A}\rangle + \cdots) \\ |R\rangle &= \psi_{NB}(|NB\rangle - \bar{\gamma}_3|N-1\tilde{B}\rangle \\ &\quad + \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3|N-2B\rangle + \cdots) \\ |\tilde{R}\rangle &= \psi_{N\tilde{B}}(|1A\rangle - \bar{\gamma}_3|N-1B\rangle \\ &\quad + \bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3|N-2\tilde{B}\rangle + \cdots), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $|L\rangle$ and $|\tilde{L}\rangle$ represent the localized states at the left edge, while $|R\rangle$ and $|\tilde{R}\rangle$ are those at the right edge. Using these four orthogonal states as the basis, the matrix elements of

the tight binding Hamiltonian can be calculated leading to the following results

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \pm(\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)^{\frac{N}{2}} & (N = \text{even}) \\ \pm\bar{\gamma}_1(\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}, \quad \pm\bar{\gamma}_3(\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} & (N = \text{odd}). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

For the even width ribbons, the two split edge bands in the first quadrant converge to a single value $\varepsilon = (\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3)^{N/2}$ at the zone boundary, while they remain to be split for the odd ones. This is due to the reduced symmetry of the odd width ribbons compared to the even ones.

According to the previous experiments, the band parameter γ_4 was assumed to be much smaller than γ_1 and γ_3 by an order of magnitude.[24, 28, 29, 30, 31] The typical values for the hopping amplitudes are taken to be $\bar{\gamma}_1 = 0.12$, $\bar{\gamma}_3 = 0.1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_4 = 0.014$. [26] Hence it is of practice to neglect the contribution of γ_4 . Here we want to investigate the effect of γ_4 on the low-lying energy dispersion. Within the $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ approximation, we notice that not only the Fermi energy $\varepsilon = 0$ has a downward shift by $\Delta\varepsilon \approx -2\bar{\gamma}_1\bar{\gamma}_3^2\bar{\gamma}_4$, but also the particle-hole symmetry is broken upon including γ_4 .

Figure 4. (a) and (b) show the band structures for $N = 4, 5$ obtained by numerically solving Eq. (5). One can observe the downward shift of the Fermi energy as expected. Interestingly, the degenerate two bands at $k = k^*$ for $\gamma_4 = 0$ are split into the two bands with an indirect energy gap. We denote the extremal points as k^e for electron pocket and k^h for hole pocket as indicated in the insets of Fig. 4. When N goes to infinity, the bands become flattened.

We have closely examined the positions of k^e and k^h with increasing N and observed that the inclusion of γ_4 leads to a qualitatively different behavior. In Fig. 5, we plot k^e and k^h with respect to $1/N$ and extrapolate to $N \rightarrow \infty$. With increasing N , k^e and k^h , which used to be fixed at k^* , are moving towards the vicinity of the Dirac point at $k = 2\pi/3$. By fitting the energy dispersions near k_e^* and k_h^* up to $N = 4$, we find that the peculiar dispersions of $\varepsilon \sim (k - k^*)^N$ reduce to the parabolic one except for the $N = 1$ case.

IV. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE Z-BGNR

When the Coulomb interaction U is present in the monolayer graphene system, sublattice ferromagnetism can appear beyond a certain critical value of U . The most favorable spin

configuration is known to be the opposite magnetization between two sublattices A and B , which is consistent with Lieb's theorem regarding the magnetism of bipartite lattices.[32] For the case of the Z-GNR, the existence of almost flat bands at zero-energy stabilizes the sublattice edge ferromagnetism even for the weak Coulomb interaction. Recently it has been proposed that the Z-GNR can become half-metallic when the transverse electric field is applied.[17, 18, 22] We have studied the edge magnetism for Z-BGNR by applying the Hartree-Fock approximation to the half-filled Hubbard Hamiltonian. Following the Hartree-Fock decoupling, the Hubbard Hamiltonian can be written by

$$H_{MF} = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i \left[\langle n_{i\downarrow} \rangle n_{i\uparrow} + \langle n_{i\uparrow} \rangle n_{i\downarrow} - \langle n_{i\uparrow} \rangle \langle n_{i\downarrow} \rangle \right] \quad (13)$$

where we have included the on-site Coulomb interaction U and $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma}$. The mean electron density $\langle n_{i\sigma} \rangle$ is kept to be constant in a given dimer line due to the translational invariance. Based on the above Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian, we have solved the self-consistent equations. Since the inter-layer hopping parameters γ_1 and γ_3 are much smaller than the intra-layer one γ_0 by an order of magnitude, we take the initial configuration for iteration as the two coupled ground state configurations of the monolayer GNR. Following the symmetry of Z-BGNR, there exist two possible inter-layer spin configurations as shown in Fig. 6. One is the anti-ferromagnetic alignment (AFM) of inter-layer edge spins within the same side of edges: $\langle n_{A,m,\sigma} \rangle = \langle n_{\tilde{B},N-m+1,\sigma} \rangle$ and $\langle n_{B,m,\sigma} \rangle = \langle n_{\tilde{A},N-m+1,\sigma} \rangle$. The other is the ferromagnetic alignment (FM) of inter-layer edge spins: $\langle n_{A,m,\sigma} \rangle = \langle n_{\tilde{B},N-m+1,\bar{\sigma}} \rangle$ and $\langle n_{B,m,\sigma} \rangle = \langle n_{\tilde{A},N-m+1,\bar{\sigma}} \rangle$.

In order to see which configuration is energetically favorable, we have calculated the total energy of the system in those two cases. The differences between two energies $E_{AFM} - E_{FM}$ are plotted as a function of U in Fig. 7. Here we have examined a Z-BGNR of width $N = 10$ and the inter-layer hopping parameters $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \bar{\gamma}_3 = 0.1$. We notice that both AFM and FM configurations open a gap by lifting off the flat bands. Figure 7 demonstrates that the FM configuration becomes immediately stable upon including the Coulomb interaction. Since the ferromagnetic alignment of the upper and lower edge spins leads to the inter-layer anti-ferromagnetic coupling between the nearest neighbor spin pairs connected by γ_1 and γ_3 , the ferromagnetic alignment will become energetically favorable for large U . Hence for the experimentally relevant value of U , that is, $U/\gamma_0 \sim 1$, the FM configurations are stable.[33, 34, 35] We have also studied the bulk magnetism for the bilayer graphene system.

In the inset of figure 7, the energy differences $E_{AFM} - E_{FM}$ are plotted as a function of U . With the increase of U above $U_c \cong 2.5\gamma_0$, the paramagnetic spin state becomes the FM one, which agrees with the result of the monolayer graphene system. Hence for the Z-BGNR, the spin fluctuations at the edges enhance the appearance of the FM configurations even below U_c .

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the electronic and magnetic structures of edge states of the zigzag bilayer graphite nanoribbons within the tight binding approximation. Neglecting the band parameter γ_4 , we obtained a peculiar dispersion relation $\varepsilon \sim \pm(k - k^*)^N$ with $k^* = 2 \cos^{-1} \sqrt{\bar{\gamma}_1 \bar{\gamma}_3}/2$ which is fixed independent of the ribbon width N . As N increases, the dispersionless edge bands at $\varepsilon = 0$ will appear just like a monolayer zigzag GNR. By investigating the semi-infinite Z-BGNR analytically, we notice that the trigonal warping of the bilayer graphene leads to the following interesting effect on the edge state structure of the Z-BGNR. One of the edge state at $\varepsilon = 0$ is absent within the region between Dirac point($k = 2\pi/3$) to $k = 2 \cos^{-1}(1 - \bar{\gamma}_1 \bar{\gamma}_3/2)$, where the bulk warped bands used to be present. With the inclusion of γ_4 , the particle-hole symmetry is broken in our Z-BGNR system. There exists an indirect gap between two edge bands, whose band extrema are located at k^e and k^h respectively. Instead of the fixed k^* as for the case of $\gamma_4 = 0$, k^e and k^h approaches near to the Dirac point with increasing N . The magnetic property of the Z-BGNR is studied by solving the Hubbard type Hamiltonian using Hartree-Fock approximation. We have shown that for the realistic value of U , the ferromagnetic alignment of inter-layer edge spins within the same side of edges is favored.

Acknowledgments

We thank Y. Son and J. Yu for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund) through KRF-2006-311-C00286. J.R. acknowledges partial support

from Seoul city through the program Seoul Science Scholarship.

- [1] Melinda Y. Han, Barbaros Ozyilmaz, Yuanbo Zhang, and Philip Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 206805 (2007).
- [2] Li Yang, Cheol-Hwan Park, Young-Woo Son, Marvin L. Cohen and Steven G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 186801 (2007).
- [3] Mitsutaka Fujita, Katsunori Wakabayashi, Kyoko Nakada and Koichi Kusakabe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 1920 (1996).
- [4] F. L. Shyu, Ming Fa Lin, C. P. Chang, R. B. Chen, J. S. Shyu, Y. C. Wang and c. H. Liao, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 3348 (2001).
- [5] Yoshiyuki Miyamoto, Kyoko Nakada, and Mitsutaka Fujita, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 9858 (1999).
- [6] Takazumi Kawai, Yoshiyuki Miyamoto, Osamu Sugino, and Yoshinori Koga, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 16349(R) (2000).
- [7] L. G. Cancado, M. A. Pimenta, B. R. A. Neves, G. Medeiros-Ribeiro, Toshiaki Enoki, Yousuke Kobayashi, Kazuyuki Takai, Ken-ichi Fukui, M. S. Dresselhaus, R. Saito, and A. Jorio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 047403 (2004).
- [8] Y. Niimi, T. Matsui, H. Kambara, K. Tagami, M. Tsukada, and Hiroshi Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 085421 (2006).
- [9] L. Brey and H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 235411 (2006).
- [10] L. Brey and H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 125434 (2007).
- [11] Huaixiu Zheng, Z. F. Wang, Tao Luo, Q. W. Shi, and Jie Chen, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 165414 (2007).
- [12] Daniel Finkenstadt, G. Pennington and M. J. Mehl, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 121405(R) (2007).
- [13] Mahito Kohmoto and Yasumasa Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 205402 (2007).
- [14] Kyoko Nakada, Mitsutaka Fujita, Gene Dresselhaus, and Mildred S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 17954 (1996).
- [15] Katsunori Wakabayashi, Mitsutaka Fujita, Hiroshi Ajiki, and Manfred Sgrist, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 8271 (1999).
- [16] Young-Woo Son, Marvin L. Cohen, and Steven G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 216803 (2006).
- [17] L. Pisani, J. A. Chan, B. Montanari, and N. M. Harrison, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 064418 (2007).

- [18] Young-Woo Son, Marvin L. Cohen, and Steven G. Louie, *Nature* **444**, 347 (2006).
- [19] D. J. Klein, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **217**, 261 (1994).
- [20] J. Fernandez-Rossier and J. J. Palacios, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 177204 (2007).
- [21] Oded Hod, Juan E. Peralta, and Gustavo E. Scuseria, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 233401 (2007).
- [22] Koichi Kusakabe and Masanori Maruyama, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 092406 (2003).
- [23] Hosik Lee, Noejung Park, Young-Woo Son, Seungwu Han, and Jaejun Yu, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **398**, 207 (2004); Hosik Lee, Young-Woo Son, Noejung Park, Seungwu Han, and Jaejun Yu, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 174431 (2005).
- [24] Edward McCann and Vladimir I. Fal’ko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 086805 (2006).
- [25] József Cserti, András Csordás, and Gyula Dávid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 066802 (2007).
- [26] J.-C. Charlier, X. Gonze, and J.-P. Michenaud, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 4579 (1991).
- [27] Shinsei Ryu and Yasuhiro Hatsugai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 077002 (2002).
- [28] Taisuke Ohta, Aaron Bostwick, Thomas Seyller, Karsten Horn, and Eli Rotenberg, *Science* **313**, 951 (2006).
- [29] Taisuke Ohta, Aaron Bostwick, J. L. McChesney, Thomas Seyller, Karsten Horn, and Eli Rotenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 206802 (2007).
- [30] Xue-Feng Wang and Tapash Chakraborty, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 041404 (2007).
- [31] E. Mendez, A. Misu, and M. S. Dresselhaus, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 827 (1980).
- [32] E. H. Lieb, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1201 (1989).
- [33] U. von Barth and L. Hedin, *J. Phys. C* **5**, 1629 (1972).
- [34] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [35] E. V. Castro, N. Peres, J. Santos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 026802 (2008).

FIG. 1: (Color online)(a) Our model system of Bernal stacked Z-BG NR. Both the three inter-layer(γ_1 , γ_3 and γ_4) and one intra-layer hopping(γ_0) parameters are shown. The Z-BG NR has a translational symmetry along $\vec{T}$. The sublattice A is colored yellow(pale gray) while B is colored green(dark gray). (b) The definition of the width of the Z-BG NR. Here the red lines(pale gray) are the lower graphene sheet and the black lines the upper one. The tilde above A and B stand for the upper layer and the black box represents a unit cell of the Z-BG NR.

FIG. 2: (Color online)(a) Projected band structure of 2D graphite bilayer along the direction of zigzag axis. The boxed region near the Dirac point is magnified in the inset, which exhibits the trigonal warping. D is the Dirac point at $k = 2\pi/3$ and three L points are the Fermi points of three nearby pockets. (b) A schematic diagram of the zero-energy edge states of the semi-infinite Z-BG NR near the Dirac point within the red box in Fig. 2(a). At $\varepsilon = 0$, the two eigenstates are drawn with different colors. Red(dark gray) for ψ_- and yellow(pale gray) for ψ_+ . D is the Dirac point while T_1 , T_2 , and the two warped bands reflect on the effect of the trigonal warping of the graphite bilayer. The inset shows the energy dispersion curve obtained by numerical method for the Z-BG NRs with finite widths $N = 100, 200$.

FIG. 3: (Color online)(a)The band diagram of the Z-BG NR with the following parameters $\bar{\gamma}_1 = 0.12$, $\bar{\gamma}_3 = 0.1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_4 = 0$ for $N = 4$. The inset, a magnified view of the low energy region, shows the power law dispersion of the edge bands. (b) The band diagram of the Z-BG NR for $N = 5$.

FIG. 4: (Color online)(a)The band diagram for the Z-BG NR with the band parameters $\bar{\gamma}_1 = 0.12$, $\bar{\gamma}_3 = 0.1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_4 = 0.014$ for $N = 4$. The inset, a magnified view of the low energy region, demonstrates the broken particle-hole symmetry and the parabolic dispersions. (b) The band diagram of the Z-BG NR for $N = 5$.

FIG. 5: (Color online) The plot of k^e and k^h with respect to $1/N$. The extrapolated values of k^e and k^h approach near to the Dirac point ($k = 2\pi/3 \sim 2.094$).

FIG. 6: (Color online) Two possible configurations of the magnetic moments are illustrated at the left part of the Z-BGNR. Black and gray lines represent dimer lines of the upper and lower layer each. Yellow(pale gray) arrows are the magnetic moments of the lower layer while red(dark gray) arrows for upper one. (a) The AFM configuration. At the left edge, the spin polarization at upper edge($1\tilde{A}$) and lower edge($1A$) layer are opposite. (b) The FM configuration. The spin polarization at upper edge($1\tilde{A}$) and lower edge($1A$) layer are parallel.

FIG. 7: (Color online) A plot of the energy differences per unit cell between the AFM and FM configurations for the N=10 Z-BNGR and 2D graphite bilayer(inset) plotted as a function of U. The unit of the energy is γ_0 .

This figure "figure1.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure2.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure3.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure4.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure5.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure6.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>

This figure "figure7.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/0806.1077v2>